

## State of Progress of the Pilots

### Project Partners Summary feeding the subsequent report of the Expert Panel – July 2012

The aim of this questionnaire is to map the state of progress of the pilots based on the feedbacks of partners responsible for each pilot implementation. This will provide a major input for the 2<sup>nd</sup> report of the Expert Panel of GovernEE, which, among others, will evaluate the latest project outputs, the revised outputs, as well, as the state of progress of the pilots. By doing so, the report shall have a clear, objective, and useful evaluation on the project's development.

Project partners responsible for the certain pilots are kindly asked to fill in the table below and send back to the Expert Panel Coordinator at [hengerritse@eu-liaison.eu](mailto:hengerritse@eu-liaison.eu) till **13 July, 2012** to facilitate the external evaluation of the project results made by the Expert Panel.

<b>Number and Name of the Pilot</b>	<b>Pilot 1: ICT system for support energy efficiency measures (Activity 4.2) – Burgenlandkreis</b>
<b>Responsible Project Partner</b>	<b>LP – Hódmezővásárhely (HU)</b>
Partners in the cluster	PP3 – Burgenlandkreis (DE) & PP4 – Prague 11 (CZ)
Short description of the pilot as stated in the AF	The pilot implemented by Burgenlandkreis was originally not foreseen in the Application Form
Timeline as described in AF (and original implementation plan)	The timeline of the original pilot 1: 4.2.1: Development of the ICT system: June 2011 (Month 13) – Oct 2012 (Month 29) 4.2.2: 1 training for future users: Oct 2012 (Month 29) 4.2.3: Monitoring pilot implementation with models developed: May 2012 (Month 24) – March 2013 (Month 34)
Description of the pilot as it is being implemented. Should there be any deviations compared to the pilot described in the AF, please explain the reasons.	Building selected; installation prepared and partly done; creating basics for the data transmission; data partly transmitted
Actual timeline and action plan (Should there be any deviations compared to the original timeline, please briefly explain the reasons!)	Completing of PA only possible if the budget modification is approved. Realisation of the installation is planned for September; public procurement is needed

Short summary of what is the present status of the pilot? What has happened so far?	See above
What kind of deviations in time did you meet compared to the Action Plan and timeline? <i>(bullet points and explanation)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/></li> <li><input type="checkbox"/></li> </ul>
What kind of difficulties have you faced during the implementation? <i>(bullet points and explanation)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> existing consumption meters mostly not compatible with data transmission systems</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> different protocols are used</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> there are no unique standards available in Germany</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> problems with cooperation between energy suppliers, grid owners, meter providers and communities</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> thoughts regarding data protection at data transmission</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> high costs for technical devices and adjustment to the different systems</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> no approval of budget modification and incomplete software at the LPK</li> </ul>
How is transnational cooperation working during the realization of the pilot? How will it be done in the future? <i>(few sentences)</i>	Good with LP but no cooperation with Prague
How do you organize the transfer of know-how between the cluster members?	Well organised cooperation with the LP and contracted company, but no contact to Prague
How do you benefit from the know-how of PPs in your cluster / in the project? <i>(few sentences)</i>	yes, partly from LP due to exchange of experiences, communication by Internet or Telephone
What do you consider important from the lessons already learnt to transfer to project partners? <i>(3-5 sentences)</i>	There are problems of cooperation between energy suppliers, grid owners and meter providers and similar problems in the partner regions. But there are also differences, e.g. unique standards in Italy. Detailed solutions to the adjustment of different technologies (producer standards) may be also used by other project partners.
How do you want to transfer the knowhow within	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> individual consultations with partner experts</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> presentation of results during the meetings and in the oCC</li> </ul>

<p>the project? What kind of means do you want to use (workshop, oCC, toolkit, etc.)? <i>(bullet points)</i></p>	
<p>How do you plan to disseminate the results outside the project? What kind of means do you want to use (workshop, media, non-media communication, toolkit, etc.)? <i>(bullet points)</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information for communities</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> presentations, workshops</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Internet presentation</li> </ul>
<p>How do you benefit from the realization of the pilot? <i>(few sentences)</i></p>	<p>consumption data detection detects possibly vulnerabilities. The elimination can lead to energy saving effects Visual presentation of energy consumption may influence the user habit positively</p>
<p>What do you consider the transnational added value of your pilot? <i>(few sentences)</i></p>	<p>Possibility, that other partners can use the system as well. In Burgenlandkreis there is the possibility to install the monitoring system also in other buildings after the termination of the project.</p>